

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/661,439	SHIN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Rudy Zervigon	1763

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas C. Basso (312.372.1121).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 April 2006

**Time:** 13:15

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All pending*

Claims discussed:

*All pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*I called Mr. Basso to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Basso confirmed that Applicants elected to pursue a continuation case and allow the pending case to abandon.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)